

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,797	10/23/2003	Michael P. Straub	MPS-1CON	7176	
26479 75	90 04/28/2004		EXAMINER		
STRAUB & POKOTYLO 620 TINTON AVENUE			LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H		
BLDG. B, 2ND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724			3661		
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/29/200	i	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	nN.	Applicant(s)				
			97	STRAUB, MICHAEL P.				
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit	-			
		Jacques	H Louis-Jacques	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun			correspondence addres	;s			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 D period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>23 October 20</u> 6	D 3 .					
2a)□	·	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims			•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 27-36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 27-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co	·					
Applicat	ion Papers		V					
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the □	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•		•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmer								
1) ⊠ Notic 2) □ Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Provided In the Indiana Provided Inc.)	PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152	?)			

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 27-36 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 27 and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 27, the step "operating a wireless transmitter ..." in lines 14-15 is incomplete.

For purpose of examination the examiner has considered the message has been transmitter to an information service provider over the Internet.

In claim 30, line 2, Applicant is suggested to add --further—before "includes".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the step of "operating a detector ..." in lines 4-7 of claim 27, "...detector to monitor to detect ..." is not clear.

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lang

[6,118,403].

6.

Lang discloses a speed trap information system, wherein there is provided a

radar/laser detector for detecting at least one radar and laser signal signals and in

response thereto, generating a signal (i.e., an alert) indicative of the radar/laser signal

(abstract). Also, according to Lang, there is provide a global positioning system, such as a

GPS for detecting o r generating a set of data including geographic position information

indicating the position where the signal was detec3ted (abstract). According to Lang, a

message including at least the geographic position information is transmitted via a

wireless communication network to a computer wide area network (e.g., an information

service provider via the Internet). See abstract, figure 1, and columns 1-2. Further in

column 4, for example, Lang discloses that the data includes time and date information of

when the signal was detected (see also column 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3661

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 27-36 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Fleming, III [6,204,798, hereafter Fleming] in view of Lang [6,118,403].

Fleming discloses a method and apparatus for altering an operator of a motor vehicle to an incoming radar signal. According to Fleming, a detector is provided for detecting at least one of a radar and a laser signal and, in response thereto, generating an alert signal indicative of the radar/laser signal. Fleming also discloses a global positioning system (GPS) for detecting the position of where the signal was detecting. See abstract, figures 1 and 2. However, Fleming does not teach transmitting a message indicating the geographic position information to an information service provider. Lang, on the other hand, disclose s speed trap information system, wherein the position information of where a radar signal was detected is transmitted in the form of a message to an information service provide via the Internet. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the method and apparatus of Fleming, III by incorporating the features from the speed trap of Lang because such modification, as suggested by Lang, would provide an instantaneously upload and download of information while riding the vehicle.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3661

6,670,905 Orr Dec. 2003

US20030218562 Orr Nov. 2003

6,240,369 Foust May 2001

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 703-305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3661

/jlj